



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Ewing et al.

Date Mailed October 13, 2006

Patent No. 7,010,589 Issued: March 7, 2006

Application No. 10/758,117 **Filed:** January 16, 2004

Confirmation No. 5022

For: REMOTE POWER CONTROL SYSTEM

Examiner: Ashokkumar B. Patel

Art Unit: 2154

Attorney Reference No. 7273-70196-02

OCT 1 9 2006

of Correction

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

The following printing errors were noted in comparing the printed patent with the papers in the attorneys' files:

On the Cover:

Column 1, page 1, section (63), "Continuation-in-part of application No. 08/685,436, filed on Jul. 23, 1996, now Pat. No. 5,949,974." should read --This application is a divisional of U.S. Patent Application S/N 09/375,471 filed August 16, 1999, which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application S/N 08/685,436 filed July 23, 1996, now U.S. Patent No. 5,949,974.--.

Column 1, page 2, line 37, "Data /Fax" should read -- Data/Fax--.

Column 2, page 2, line 39, "1996 Server" should read --1996, Server--.

Column 2, page 2, line 67, "May 13,1992" should read -- May 13, 1992--.

Column 3, page 3, line 12, "Remote Mgr." should read -- Remote Power Mgr.--.

OCT 1 9 2006

In the Specification:

Column 6, line 15, "third-network" should read --third network--.

Column 6, line 56, "to determine if they" should read --to determine if they--.

Column 7, line 5, "microprocessor 220" should read --microprocessor 220--.

Column 8, line 19, "network. appliances" should read --network appliances--.

Column 10, line 15, "preferably. provided" should read --preferably provided--.

In the Claims:

Column 12, line 38, "detected byte sensing" should read --detected by the sensing--.

Applicants respectfully submit that all of the above errors are attributable to the Patent Office, and a Certificate of Correction is enclosed in duplicate to make formal notice of the errors in the referenced patent.

Applicants appreciate the telephonic Examiner Interview granted by the Examiner to the undersigned representative on October 10, 2006, during which the Applicants' undersigned counsel and the Examiner discussed the Patent Office's printing error at column 1, page 1, section (63), of the Applicants' patent issued in this matter. The Examiner agreed that the priority claim as printed does not reflect the correct continuity information as provided in the application at the time of filing (by way of preliminary amendment) and as listed on the Filing Receipt mailed May 3, 2004. The Examiner also agreed that the priority claim as printed does not reflect the correct continuity information as listed in the Bibliographic Data Sheet, which was initialed by the Examiner on February 17, 2005. The Examiner further agreed that the Applicants should file this Request for Certificate of Correction.

OCT 1 9 2006

JDW:axc 10/13/06 7273-70196-02

Applicants request that this document be included in the file wrapper of the subject patent to make formal notice of the errors.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

Ву

Registration No. 54,519

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600 121 S.W. Salmon Street Portland, Oregon 97204 Telephone: (503) 595-5300 Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

cc: Docketing

Staple Here Only

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 7,010,589

DATED : March 7, 2006

INVENTOR(S) : Ewing et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

On the Cover:

Column 1, page 1, section (63), "Continuation-in-part of application No. 08/685,436, filed on Jul. 23, 1996, now Pat. No. 5,949,974." should read --This application is a divisional of U.S. Patent Application S/N 09/375,471 filed August 16, 1999, which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application S/N 08/685,436 filed July 23, 1996, now U.S. Patent No. 5,949,974.--.

Column 1, page 2, line 37, "Data /Fax" should read -- Data/Fax--.

Column 2, page 2, line 39, "1996 Server" should read -- 1996, Server--.

Column 2, page 2, line 67, "May 13,1992" should read -- May 13, 1992--.

Column 3, page 3, line 12, "Remote Mgr." should read -- Remote Power Mgr.--.

In the Specification:

Column 6, line 15, "third-network" should read --third network--.

Column 6, line 56, "to determine. if they" should read --to determine if they--.

Column 7, line 5, "microprocessor 220" should read --microprocessor 220--.

Column 8, line 19, "network. appliances" should read --network appliances--.

Column 10, line 15, "preferably, provided" should read --preferably provided--.

In the Claims:

Column 12, line 38, "detected byte sensing" should read --detected by the sensing--.

Staple Here Only

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 7,010,589

DATED : March 7, 2006

INVENTOR(S) : Ewing et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

On the Cover:

Column 1, page 1, section (63), "Continuation-in-part of application No. 08/685,436, filed on Jul. 23, 1996, now Pat. No. 5,949,974." should read --This application is a divisional of U.S. Patent Application S/N 09/375,471 filed August 16, 1999, which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application S/N 08/685,436 filed July 23, 1996, now U.S. Patent No. 5,949,974.--.

Column 1, page 2, line 37, "Data /Fax" should read -- Data/Fax--.

Column 2, page 2, line 39, "1996 Server" should read -- 1996, Server--.

Column 2, page 2, line 67, "May 13,1992" should read -- May 13, 1992--.

Column 3, page 3, line 12, "Remote Mgr." should read -- Remote Power Mgr. --.

In the Specification:

Column 6, line 15, "third-network" should read --third network--.

Column 6, line 56, "to determine. if they" should read --to determine if they--.

Column 7, line 5, "microprocessor 220" should read --microprocessor 220--.

Column 8, line 19, "network. appliances" should read --network appliances--.

Column 10, line 15, "preferably. provided" should read --preferably provided--.

In the Claims:

Column 12, line 38, "detected byte sensing" should read --detected by the sensing--.